

MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING
FOR THE CITY OF MAYWOOD PARK
05 November 2018

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

The City Council Meeting was called to order at approximately 7:00 pm.

Present at the meeting were Councilman Art Winslow, Councilman Matthew Castor, Councilman Jim Akers, and Councilman Brian Davey.

Also present: Bonnie Davey, City Recorder; Lisa Higgins, Transcriptionist.

Residents present: Michelle Montross, Chris Williams, Linda Hardin, Kate Lamb, Dan Chavez, Jason and Bonnie Troutman, Jeff and Mary Jo Steffen, Sue Price, Alex Neerman.

Approval of the October 22, 2018 City Council minutes. Approved as presented.

OLD BUSINESS

Castor: We are trying out a new agenda format, so please bear with us. We don't have any public presentations or hearings tonight, so straight into council business.

Wendy is not here so no Treasurer's report. Social Media Policy?

Bonnie: The note I had from the last meeting is, if I'm remembering correctly there was a comment about specifying if it's our Facebook page, but I'd suggest leaving it open so that it's adaptable in the future.

Castor: I think in terms of policy it's fine to leave it open-ended, and per the comments at the last meeting we at least put in some guidelines on the City's webpage in terms of what the current social media policy is.

Bonnie: So, like, you can find more information on the City's webpage.

Castor: Yeah. Essentially, we don't have the manpower to post on every social media page and work on keeping the records for that. Also not wanting to have to incorporate all of those channels into our public records, but putting that info on the social media that more information is available on the City's webpage. Residents are more than welcome to share that on other social media pages, it just isn't an official City channel.

Bonnie: I can write something up and have you approve it and put it up there.

Castor: Any other council comments on this policy?

Davey: The no comments on social media policy will be up there?

Castor: Yes. Motion to approve Resolution 262 Social media policy?

Resolution 262 Social Media Policy adopted unanimously as presented.

102ND PROJECT

Castor: Nothing new with ordinances tonight. Mayor Hardie isn't here this evening, but I will speak to the PBOT 102nd project. We met with Clay Vika and a few members of her team as well as members of the Parkrose neighborhood association and we spent some time taking a closer look at their design and what it will mean for us and Parkrose. Essentially what we were looking for was to give additional input to the design and also look for things they can tie into the design if need be. There are many people that use Tri-Met as a daily commuting tool and they struggle to try to walk across Prescott in the evening at commuting hours, and so looking at what additional safety features we have them incorporate into this project to try to mitigate problems there. The other thing we tried to speak in depth about was the gathering of data as a baseline before implementing a pilot program and what the evaluation of that data would be and what the definition of success versus not success would be. Portland's definition of success could still incorporate a volume of traffic that would be completely unacceptable to us in terms of how many cars they would be willing to direct through our City and still call it a success. We were looking to them to adjust their guidelines down to something we could agree upon. Also to put potential mitigation steps in place prior to an IGA or implementing a project. We were very clear with PBOT that we would agree to an IGA when we were satisfied they had proper solutions and the money to back it. They initially told us they don't have money set aside to fix those things now, but they have a request in process. Our response back to them was "not good enough". City of Maywood Park does not have the budget to start installing other traffic mitigation devices on our own dime and since this is their project we want to make sure they are good partners. We are definitely working with them toward a good solution and we'll probably see a draft in the near future but we won't sign off on that until we know we are in a good position to increase safety on 102nd, and Maywood Park as well. That's where things stand I think. Any comments or questions.

Dan Chavez: I was wondering if we know whether the City of Portland is getting matching federal funds for this? I know that on Killingsworth a lot of their determination of how to do it was dependent on federal dollars.

Castor: I don't know if they have matching funds. We didn't talk about the funding source. One of the reasons they are trying to approach with as much road striping and not road barriers is to be conscious of their budget. So, that's one of the things we were trying to discuss with them, we know you are trying to save a dollar, but if it comes down the road where you need an additional speed bump that you aren't saying, well maybe in the next budget cycle.

Sue Price: How are they proposing to do the overpass into Maywood at Fremont?

Castor: We had that same conversation with them, it seems like a struggle. Essentially they would divert cyclists at Fremont to the east side of the bridge. So they would put a 2 direction bike lane on that side of the bridge. It would start at Fremont and go up to...

Bonnie: So bikes would have to cross up on 102nd?

Castor: They are narrowing down to one lane each direction and taking the eastern most lane of traffic and putting in a 2 direction bike lane on that side. One lane for cars starting at Fremont and 2 lanes for bicycles. It doesn't necessarily seem like this is increasing safety in some respects. There are other things that come into play, like who actually owns the physical bridge so they can't put physical barriers on the bridge, ODOT owns it, so there are some challenges.

Kate Lamb: So we get this bike lane that's wonderful and then what happens at Sandy? What's the point?

Castor: Good question. Ultimately it's PBOT's decision, they have vision zero and are trying to encourage different forms of commuting. Their emphasis is trying to slow people down and their previous projects have shown that if they condense lanes it helps to reduce speeds.

Lamb: So they think people won't come down 102nd anymore.

Castor: It's speculation, to be honest. That would be the hope, and that we see less Washington commuters. But you know, the reality, will that be the case? We don't know. If we can reach and inter-governmental agreement, it would be purely a pilot.

Lamb: As a cyclist I'd rather go down Maywood. Why build another bike lane when you have one that goes that way?

Castor: We made that comment, about the beautiful bike lane to Gateway with no cars, it's safer. The thought is, it's great for us, but what does it do for people who are on 102nd who are between Fremont and Halsey and there is no access to the bike path. We suggested they create an access. We want to suggest these things they haven't considered, and really try to put their plan under a microscope and see if it makes sense. The two lane bike lane on the eastern side of the bridge and down toward Fred Meyer you hit that road where people are coming off 102nd and people are already frustrated, and now you're putting a scenario where they have to look both ways. It won't be safe for bicyclists.

Lamb: I just spent 3 weeks in Netherlands where they have signals for bicycles too.

Castor: While I don't know anyone in the room, PBOT included, agreed that it was the best solution, what they were showing was one of the few solutions for a very unique situation on the bridge. It all of it relies on everybody doing their responsible job and obeying the laws. It's

definitely a challenge. We had some conversations about scenarios in the real world. They created a preferred bike corridor in NE and it's a road I cross over every day from Swan Island and I see cyclists run the stop sign all the time. The whole point was to create safe bike corridor. Everyone has their own responsibility to keep it safe. We are trying to work with them to figure out how to proceed. They could proceed with the project without our input, so we really want to partner with them to make it work.

Lamb: So is parking still going to be by the curb?

Castor: I think it's still in flux. It was clear this wasn't a final design. What they're intending to do is submit a proposed IGA and a revised design and plan and if it's something we all think is workable on some level we will have then come back and do a presentation at a council meeting.

Lamb: If I was a homeowner on 102nd I would be furious about parking in the middle with a bike lane on the side.

Castor: There have been concerns because some vehicles on 102nd have already been destroyed. The other voices we have heard from residents on 102nd is that they feel like they live on a super highway and they can't get in and out of their driveways. I don't know if this is an ideal solution, but if there is potential in the pilot to make things better, we're open to seeing what a pilot might look like.

Lamb I just hope the respect that it's a pilot.

Castor: Yes. And we're trying to be conscious of that, discussing how this will be measured and what the metrics will be after that, and here is the course of action after that.

Price: I thought at the original meeting that the northbound traffic on 102nd as you got to Fremont on the south side of the bridge that it was going to be one lane and widen back out into two lanes and then narrow back down to one lane one street down. Now you're saying one lane?

Castor: What's best would be for all of us to wait to get to the final representation and see the design. There is still debate, not just amongst us and them but even within their own team about what the best solution was. I think it's best to not speculate until we get to the public presentation.

Price: It sounds dangerous to have cyclists and traffic riding against each other.

Castor: It's a tough project to design for them. The addition of heavy commuter traffic from Washington folks makes it more challenging, and other projects in the city, like near Rosa Parks, doesn't have the commuter traffic from Washington. And that's why we were adamant about Maywood's voices being heard, and Parkrose Neighborhood Association as well.

Lamb: Up to the freeway, it's going to be 2 lanes?

Castor: For the most part it's going to be one lane each way. The one thing I would say is that while we're trying to do our best to take care of Maywood, everyone's voice counts. Sending feedback to PBOT and their team and when we do have a design meeting with them, everybody come, and be thoughtful, but critical. Is there are things that you see that could be improved, don't be afraid to voice your opinion.

Neerman: When you were talking about mitigation were they receptive about setting aside money?

Castor: They were receptive to the idea of mitigation, but we were not receptive to waiting for a secondary request for funds. Our direction to them was if you know a speedbump costs \$5k and you need we would need X speedbumps on a street, if you know this mitigation process is one you're suggesting we want to see the specs and cost and that you have committed a budget to it. Because we don't want to go through that process after the fact and be denied.

Neerman: Are they working the 205 work into this? The success of the 205 work is going to affect 102nd too, right?

Castor: They did mention it but that's not their project either, that's an ODOT project so, it could have impact, or it could not, but if it flowed better maybe? This is also a larger regional issue that we brought up and discussion about traffic and commuter traffic across the bridge and what that means. Really this is a hopeful band-aid on a problem. Hopefully it's on that is successful and reduces speed.

Neerman: Have you ever put up speeding cameras on Maywood Place?

Catsor: We have not. City of Portland has a couple vans but Multnomah County does not, they own a couple speed trailers that call out your speed but they don't issue citations. We have discussed working with PBOT and Portland Police to get one of their ticket issuing vans parked on 102nd more frequently.

Neerman: That could be a deterrent.

Montross: I think this would be a perfect time for them to come out because for 3 weeks there has been a car that has been rear-ended and smashed up the cars on 102nd. If they come in and put that bike lane in there, that's just asking for trouble, because the bicyclists are going to keep going and the car is going to keep going and the car is obviously going to win. This is when they need to come out and see this.

Castor: We had invited them out to walk 102nd with us and quite frequently when they put a traffic camera out Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, which is typically when they do their counts.

But our problem is after 4 Thursday and Friday afternoons so that's why we invited them out on an afternoon at the end of the week. And of course the one day that we chose was probably the lightest traffic I have seen on 102nd in the last two years. (laughter) They did say they were aware of the things we are worried about.

Price: Is anyone from the City video-taping when this is going on?

Castor: We are not, But I encourage people to grab their phones and if you want to plop down and record a half hour of footage I'd love to have it.

Neerman: Do they cut from Prescott to Fremont?

Castor: Typically they divert down 99th and 96th to get to Prescott or 102nd when traffic is heavy.

Lamb: I've been doing that sometimes.

Castor: The last thing I'll say on this is that as we're engaging not just them but Parkrose Neighborhood Association there is a big concern about livability. We want to increase public safety, for kids walking to school, or people commuting on Tri-met. My concern was let's not just do a PBOT project and fix their speed issue on 102nd and increase the traffic in Maywood Park. I know when I come home in the evening and I drive down Skidmore they'll be 6-8 -10 kids in the middle of Skidmore playing basketball and I don't want to have their project diverting more people who aren't aware of the kids out playing after school. We think about livability and safety here and in Parkrose and make sure all of our bases are covered.

Price: You could make little signs in cement things and put them out when traffic gets bad saying slow down.

Castor: Once you start introducing things into the roadway you create liability.

STREETS, BIKE PATH AND BERM

Jim: Catch basins seem to be full lately, maybe we can ask in the newsletter for people to clean their basins. I haven't put any bio-bags out, that helps, we need more of those.

Castor: Send Wendy an email to see if what have a budget for that.

Akers: We also did budget for turning the lights up, we did budget for that and it hasn't been done, the only one that's been done and they didn't charge us is 99th and Failing.

Castor: What's the charge?

Akers: \$1000 something?

Castor: We already budgeted for that?

Akers: Yes.

Castor: If I recall we had given feedback on which lights they were, so I don't see any reason if there's a budget not to get it done.

Akers: I will contact and Multnomah County Lighting District and get that done.

FRANCHISE UPDATES

Castor: Now that we're moving into daylight savings it would be nice to get those bumped up. Cable Franchise, no update. I did just send Mark Hardie the Century Link franchise, they aren't going to do any video and when I hear back from him I'll send it out for review. Wastewater system and franchises, I did get a technical draft of the memo from FCS, it's a 30-40 page document from them talking about some rate payer study data and policy data, that has been reviewed by PACE engineering and PACE has made comments on it and gotten it back to FCS and I'll send it back to the council if you guys want to read through and have comments. Essentially we are trying to merge the FCS with PACE to make a complete wastewater plan. We'll schedule one of our work sessions in the coming months to have them come in and review it all with us.

TREE TRIMMING ORDINANCE

Jim, anything new on a tree-trimming ordinance?

Akers: Bonnie sent out a draft and I haven't heard from anyone on that.

PUBLIC SAFETY

Castor: Public Safety?

Akers: Halloween was pretty uneventful, we had two sheriffs here.

Castor: Someone by the storage unit, there was an unusual vehicle, but the vehicle happened to be another resident visiting for a party, but I took my floodlight and went up there and met Multnomah County and as it turns out there was someone still behind the lattice there and the deputy took them into custody and asked a couple questions and everything was secure. I'm not sure what the person was doing back there. The vigilance of the residents keeping a watchful eye, that's what got that fast response time.

Akers: I am checking the Conex lock on a regular basis.

ZONING ORDINANCE

Winslow: The one thing we need to decide on is perhaps including in the work sessions discussions on zoning ordinances relating to residential. There was some concern about parts of

it that haven't been included so I'll talk with Bonnie and see about some dates before we plan the meeting.

Bonnie: So zoning ordinances for residential?

Winslow: Yes.

Castor: When we have those meetings we should include Ben as well.

COMMONS LIGHTING

Castor: Brian, update on lighting?

Brian The light will be here by next Monday. The orientation of the post isn't ideal so we have to get an adaptor plate.

Castor: Before we do that, Bonnie is there a way we can accommodate the resident who lives behind the commons, before we actually get the contractor out there, figuring out a way to get a note into neighbor's mailboxes, and give them a heads up that it's changing and solicit feedback right away. I want to be mindful of not blasting anybody with light. Bonnie, updates?

Bonnie: No updates.

Castor: Other new business?

Brian Davey: Should we talk about the new format?

Castor: I'll start and let Bonnie finish. As we move forward this coming year, we've been talking a lot about a waste water plan, and obviously, this isn't Maywood Park saying "We're sewerage", this is the City of Maywood Park saying we've done all this research and we've explored keeping our septic and cesspools, explored gravity, we're presenting options and were going to have some serious topics that we need to discuss with everyone, as we move through and we have limited time during our evenings giving everyone the opportunity to voice their opinion can be challenging as we have back and forth debates internally. Some other feedback we've gotten from residents is that they specifically don't attend meetings because of how casual our structure is at times and they feel frustrated when they come and want to have a dialogue when they come and a large part of the conversations are taken up with side conversations. We've discussed putting a formalized procedure in place and adhering more closely to Robert's Rules might behoove us and make it more efficient as we try to move through these serious topics that could impact everybody depending upon how residents chose to vote or not. That everybody has all the opportunity to get all the information that they need to make their own decisions. Bonnie had been working with some state resources and doing her own research. She's putting together some new agenda structures, which we are trying out a bit tonight, and talking about how we all comment and setting some durations associated with how much time we can talk.

Bonnie: Since taking the City Recorder position 2 years ago I've been in training mode, trying to learn about parliamentary structure and seeing how other small cities conduct meetings. Moving forward with having more of a formal citizen comment time so we aren't running out of time and so citizens can come prepared so that questions can be answered. Citizens comment time will be based on standard city procedure which is about 3 minutes for an agenda item and also an opportunity to speak about items not on the agenda. Starting at the next City Council meeting there will be guidelines for how to participate in the meeting on the back of the agenda for people to come in and know how to participate in a more structured format. We'll be moving in that direction. If you have questions you can let me know.

Castor: This is also something that's new to us on the council so we're relying on Bonnie to keep us all in check.

Lamb: Like a school board meeting where you have to sign up to comment?

Castor: We're are trying to keep it not quite that formal.

Bonnie: We're baby-stepping into a more formal procedure. We discussed using comment cards, because that is a standard procedure for cities, but because it's been more of a casual structure we want to still have that feel but also structure it in a way that is working for people especially since we are having bigger attendance, which is wonderful, from citizens coming and being more involved. So we want to have good structure to welcome that and have it still work.

Price: Last meeting when people were asking about the AirBnB ordinance and they didn't know what was going on if it was possible when there is something that will become an ordinance if it would be possible for the council to schedule a special meeting like we're having with PBOT where people can come and talk about it but somebody said 10 people voted that in and you said, no the council voted that in. What dictates if you or the residents vote on ordinances? Would that ever be put out to the city?

Castor: Residents don't vote on ordinances, the council does. Whoever put that out was incorrect. We want to hear our residents, and as many people as we can. But we need to look at the big picture and not just what's best for a few people but what's best for the whole. I got the impression the last few weeks that the council made this decision on some anecdotal information received from a couple residents and that's not the case. I looked at major markets, minor markets, cities that do or don't allow it and some that allow it with very heavy handed regulation and we are in a challenging spot. From what I've seen the negatives for communities outweighed the benefits to a few individuals. So when our responsibility is to look at public safety and quality of life and continuity of the community and home prices and things like that, available rentals, we have to look at the big picture in that equation and so really the decision was not made on a few opinions that we got from a few people, it was really looking at all these different things and trying to come to the best decision. As you know we have a couple part-time employees and the council. We don't have a huge staff or resources, so once we get

into additional business regulation or lodging taxes and trying to collect, monitor and enforce those things it goes down this road of resources that we just don't have. Without trying to find other ways of raising taxes to facilitate those things. We are just trying to come back to decisions that make the most sense to maintain the character of our entire community and serve the interests of the most people we can and not just benefit the few.

Price: Having a beach house in Seaside for a short time that was surrounded by rentals and rely on the neighbors for reporting things and they have such strict rules and safety things and I don't know what AirBnB requires, even down to a smoke alarm, but out in the west side of town somewhere and the parents rented a house and gave it to the teenagers and a boy got shot and killed and that happens a lot at the beach, it was bad.

Castor: The thing that Bonnie and I and a few residents have been talking about is, as we are changing how we format meetings and work sessions, we are trying to create an environment where, if you look at us and the way we have made a decision on a topic or considering a topic and you say wow, there are some things you are missing, the same way we are working with PBOT, if you have the opportunity to think about that and see things we aren't seeing get a letter to Bonnie so we can put it on our next work session and as a council we can consider your point of view and read it and consider it as opposed to the couple minute period we get in the meeting so we can really consider it.

Lamb: I think you should for AirBNB's. We have a house in Gearhart and they are trying to make it so you can't rent anymore. If you have a house and pay your taxes in Gearhart you can remain a rental and you have to meet all sorts of safety regulations and huge requirements for all the safety stuff that has to be met by the renter. They are trying to phase out renting in the City.

Castor: So when you say we should reconsider?

Lamb: I mean you should have more of a discussion.

Castor: I can see why they are appealing. In some of the studies I was reading, the break-even point in a short term rental versus a long term was 87 days. You can rent your home for a few months and make the same amount as in a year. So that opportunity for people to make 4 times as much is appealing. But then you look at markets like San Francisco where housing is already challenging and the cost is challenging, but then investors are coming in and buying homes and turning them all into AirBnBs. Then when the AirBnB next door got a little ruckus and the neighbors who live their year round they talk to the people at the rental and they don't care. Where is the remedy?

Lamb: In Gearhart when there is a new rental they have to give every neighbor within a block a paper that has all the information, contact and who owns it and who to contact. And that's a requirement for a rental in Gearhart.

Castor: Like I said, some cities are doing it with a pretty heavy hand and they have figured out how to do that and maybe there should be ways that Maywood park does it. IF residents put it down on paper and give it to the council we can look at it and review and say maybe we do need to reconsider.

Lamb: I don't think you should change it, I just thought there might be more discussion.

Castor: Okay. And that's not just specific to this topic but to other topics, you know, if Jeff is itching to have chickens in his backyard. (laughter)
That's kind of where we want to leave it those debates.

Price: You've passed the ordinance though right?

Castor: Yes, there was talk in January, February, and it passed in March. And some people who were out of the country became aware of it later on.

Bonnie: I heard you ask, Sue, is it possible to have an ordinance discussion in a separate meeting like we will have with PBOT, and I wanted to clarify that PBOT meeting won't be a special meeting and there will be a limited amount of time, it will be in the presentation section of our agenda and they will have a limited amount of time to present.

Castor: This is specifically to take that IGA and that plan we've looked at and present that to everybody.

Price: When a future ordinance comes up maybe you guys can have an evening meeting and residents are advised and they can come in and give input maybe? The AirBnB people came in and said they did not know anything about what was going on and how can they do that? You have to get the message out to them that there is going to be a meeting.

Lamb: It is their responsibility to check that, the agenda, and know if that's happening.

Bonnie: The agenda is a public notice, it's posted on the bulletin board in the Maywood Commons, it's posted on the website and the Facebook page and is copied and sent out by other groups. So for example, the tree trimming ordinance has been on here for months and months and if somebody is interested in that they can ask during a citizen comment time to be updated. When you see an ordinance under council business, that means that is the date it will get voted on and there will be citizen comment time for that as well, so they can do it at that time without scheduling a separate meeting.

Castor: By trying to formalize things, we are trying to get better about the way we're notifying everyone and keeping everyone involved in the process. I think we've been making improvements and we'll continue to do so.

Price: So you can amend an ordinance if need be?

Castor: Yes, we can amend things if we feel it's appropriate to do so. Or if the general population disagrees with what we've done, there are State procedures to file paperwork and petitions and try to get those things on a ballot and get it to the voters so we can decide that issue.

Neerman: Have thought about having a specific public comment email? I hear a lot that people say I can't go to meetings.

Castor: We have the office email that you can always leave a comment at. I think Bonnie tries to go out of her way to encourage people, and every document we put out has it on there.

Davey: And then it's public record too, right? When you send something to the city?

Bonnie: Yes, and then I can circulate it to the council members.

Castor: That's the most appropriate time to do it really. I've been on walks with my 5-year-old and people will stop me and want to have a discussion or debate on the side of the road and one, we're cutting into this little guy's time and two, really, let's put that on paper through a formal channel so I can take time to consider your thoughts in a manner when I'm focused on it and not when I'm focused on - oh man is he going to skin his knee?

Bonnie: The formal channel would be the office email.

Dan Chavez: I've been coming to these not often enough. It took me a long time to adapt to these meetings, back when these meetings were more formal I had an issue and I got it on the agenda for a meeting and came back to the meeting and it had been taken off of the agenda by the city council. That kind of informality was my introduction to it and I've held a grudge ever since. Now that Bonnie is here and all for this is more formal, I'm very positive about what you're doing. Is the City council's power to negate public complaint, will that be addressed somehow?

Bonnie: The process of putting something on the agenda will now be more aligned with other governmental policies of an open citizen comment time. That will be structured time when you can sum up your complaint and present information ahead of time for the council to consider. Councilman Castor said that would be ideal. Citizen comment time will always be structured in to the meetings going forward and at those times if you would like the council to consider further action a citizen can ask the council if they would consider further action on this. You can come to work sessions, those are open public meetings and you can come and observe.

Chavez: So this would be a more formal process rather than at the whim of the council like it was.

Bonnie: It would be structured into citizen comment time and then it would either be determined by the council to discuss it more in work sessions or put it on the agenda as an item to talk about or vote on.

Chavez: Just like other state agencies.

Bonnie: Yes, we're trying to move in that direction parallel with what other cities are doing. So things aren't lost off the agenda. Be patient with us as we go through our growing pains, we are trying to go in that direction just for that kind of things so things aren't lost here or there and there is a dedicated time for voices to be heard. That has always been a priority of the council it just hasn't been in a structured manner.

Winslow: I must say I've been through this before, and we try at all times to do the best we can, and I don't know enough about your situation to judge one way or another. But I've seen the same people coming to these meetings and it deviates very little. The information is out there; can we get it delivered in a better fashion? We're going to try and do that. But people have no excuse for not knowing what is happening because we spend months working on these doggone ordinances. And I'm tired of hearing people complain that they don't know about anything, it's ridiculous. We get very little support except from a handful of people in this community anymore. And when we have an issue that bothers a small number we get 40 people showing up. What happens the rest of the time? We are in a situation as a city that is going to need a lot of attention, the issue of the sewer and the continuation of this city as a community is at risk, and some hard decisions are going to have to be made in the next few months. People are going to have to start paying attention to what's happening here. Most of the decision we have made on ordinances in the last year have been as a result of state issue regulations and we have had to adjust to those and make some decisions. We don't take it lightly but we need people to take part in this as well.

Lamb: Art, how long have you been on the council?

Winslow: The first time was 8 years, and now it's been 2 years.

Castor: Any other new business?

Bonnie: I'd like to ask the council to schedule a work session after January based on a citizen request to consider a further discussion about AirBnB's.

Castor: Have we received good information on what points that citizen would like us to reconsider?

Bonnie: Yes, and also that citizen has been invited to come and speak at citizen comment time in the meantime, if there is time between then and now.

Castor: Would the council be willing to look at that info and review it at the next work session?

(Council agrees.)

Bonnie: It would be in a work session after January.

Winslow: And we should ask Ben to be here as well.

Castor: That's fine, put it in.

Bonnie: Thank you.

Meeting adjourned at 8:20 pm.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Lisa Higgins.

FINAL